

Individual monitoring committee (CSI) report

of

Name:

Surname:

Date:

Deadline:







How to use this report

This report allows the individual monitoring committees members of PhD students to follow, from one year to the next, the conclusions and recommendations of the previous meeting.

PhD students take stock in the progress reports, not only about their work, but also about their skills and the conditions of their doctoral training before their monitoring committees meetings.

PhD students are invited to complete the reports and, in particular, the self-assessment of skills over time, as soon as they have a significant action or achievement to include in them or have completed training.

Prior to the monitoring committee meeting, they also prepare a summary of their work and send the progress report to the individual monitoring committee members on the Amethis tool, within a deadline specified by the doctoral school.

At the end of the committee meeting, the CSI members will have to formulate their conclusions, opinions and recommendations in the CSI report and appoint the CSI correspondent from among them.

• The CSI correspondent will then be in charge of filing the dated and signed report, in PDF format, on the Amethis application (an access code will be provided for this purpose):

https://amethis.doctorat-bretagneloire.fr/amethis-client

• If one of the CSI members- or the PhD student - wishes to file a confidential annex for the doctoral school, the CSI correspondent will be in charge of notifying it by email to: ed-mastic@doctorat-paysdelaloire.fr

Independently of the CSI, after the 3rd appointment, a request for an extension must be sent to the doctoral school by e-mail:

For the Nantes site: ed-mastic.nantes@doctorat-paysdelaloire.fr

For the Angers site: <u>ed-mastic.angers@doctorat-paysdelaloire.fr</u>

For the Le Mans site: ed-mastic.lemans@doctorat-paysdelaloire.fr







Data sheet

Name and Surname:	
Subject of the thesis:	
Date of 1st PhD registration:	
Financial support:	
Duration (in months) of financing:	
Thesis prepared part-time? (% of time devoted to the thesis):	
Laboratory:	
Name-Surname of the thesis director:	
Name-Surname-email of the thesis co- director or, co-supervisors:	
Specific details (Joint thesis, FTLV, handicap situation, high level sports,):	







Monitoring committee meeting

Summary account of exchanges

Research progress (ownership of the su	ubject, main results, adherence to the provisional	
schedule, quality of the presentation, answers to questions) (1/2 page maximum)		
Scientific productions, exploitation, int	ternational experience	
□ article(s),	☐ of which international co-publication(s)	
□ conference(s)	☐ of which international communication(s)	
□ patent(s), transfer	☐ short international mobility (< 3 months)	
\Box other scientific production(s)	☐ long international mobility (> 3 months)	
_	ion in the research unit, Supervision conditions,	
	re and international openness, skills development,	
preparation for the professional future.		







Regulatory training
Did the PhD student follow?
☐ Research ethics and scientific integrity training (mandatory)?
☐ Training in open science issues and disseminating research in society to strengthen relations between scientists and citizens (mandatory)?
☐ Training in sustainable development issues?
☐ One or more training courses, seminar cycles, thematic schools etc. to strengthen its scientific culture?
\Box One or more trainings, workshops, meetings to prepare for your career in the public or private sector?
☐ One or more training courses, seminar cycles, thematic schools to promote its international openness and knowledge of the international research framework?
Individual monitoring committee assessments and recommendations
Brief opinion on the research progress:
Brief opinion on the training conditions:
Strengths: You can use the skills self-assessment to identify skills acquired and methods, concepts, etc. mastered.
Areas for improvement: You can use the skills self-assessment to identify areas where skills need to be developed or deficiencies are identified.







Recommendations - Committee members made the following recommendations for the
PhD continuation:
The Monitoring Committee bring the particular areas:
The Montoring Committee bring the particular areas.
□ Vaa □ Na . anac'f .
□ Yes □ No: <i>specify</i>
The monitoring committee alerts the doctoral school and/or reports:
□ Yes □ No
The Individual Monitoring Committee opinion for re-registration
The Monitoring Committee opinion on PhD re-registration:
g a significant
□ Favourable □ Reserved □ Unfavourable
- Tavourable - Reserved - Officavourable
If reserved, specify the expectations before re-registration (mediation, additional work, etc.):
If we for your laboratory the managers
If unfavourable, specify the reasons:
if untavourable, specify the reasons:
If relevant: Monitoring Committee opinion for an extension request on the thesis duration:
If relevant: Monitoring Committee opinion for an extension request on the thesis duration:
If relevant: Monitoring Committee opinion for an extension request on the thesis duration:
If relevant: Monitoring Committee opinion for an extension request on the thesis duration:







Date, Surnames, Names and signatures of the monitoring committee members

Reminder: The CSI correspondent designated by the committee is in charge of submit the dated and signed report, in PDF format, on the Amethis application (see link on page 2).

Surname, Name of the member specializing in the thesis area:
For the 1st year CSI:
☐ "I certify that I have sufficient expertise in the doctoral student's area of research."
Signature:
Surname, Name of non-specialist member of the thesis area:
For the 1st year CSI:
\Box "I certify that my area of expertise is outside the thesis area".
Signature:
Surname, Name of the 3rd potential member of the CSI:
Signature:



